UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,905	05/30/2006	Michael Brines	WP03-1 A04-US	2092	
	7590 11/24/200 ARMACEUTICALS, II		EXAMINER		
712 KITCHAWAN ROAD OSSINING, NY 10562			DEBERRY, REGINA M		
OSSINING, N	1 10302		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/573,905	BRINES ET AL.	
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Regina M. DeBerry	1647	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>N/A</u>	Į.	
(1) <u>Regina M. DeBerry</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Frederick J. Hamble</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>19 November 2008</u>	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: N/A			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	B DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of th interview, since t	e substance
/Marianne P. Allen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	ppropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated to Applicant that two sets of IDS were submitted and scanned into eDAN (29 July 2008). One set comprised 52 pages. The second set comprised 364 pages. The Examiner stated that there only appeared to be 26 pages of true references comprising reference numbers A01-C402 and that there are 372 extra copies of same 26 pages. Applicant confirmed that there should only be 26 pages of references with reference numbers A01-C402 and that somehow the pages had been duplicated. Applicant gave permission for the 372 pages of the IDS be removed from the file; thus leaving the intended 26 pages which comprise reference numbers A01-C402...